arXiv:1701.05966v1 [math.SG] 21 Jan 2017

CONTINUOUS COVERS ON SYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

FRANÇOIS LALONDE AND JORDAN PAYETTE

Abstract. In this article, we first introduce the notion of a *continuous cover* of a manifold parametrised by any compact manifold endowed with a mass 1 volume-form. We prove that any such cover admits a partition of unity where the usual sum is replaced by integrals. We then generalize Polterovich's notion of Poisson non-commutativity to such a context in order to get a richer definition of non-commutativity and to be in a position where one can compare various invariants of symplectic manifolds, for instance the relation between critical values of phase transitions of symplectic balls and eventual critical values of the Poisson non-commutativity. Our first main theorem states that our generalisation of Poisson non-commutativity depends only on real one-parameter spaces since intuitively the Hilbert curve in any high dimensional parameter space fills out the entire manifold and preserves the measure. Our second main theorem states that the Poisson non-commutativity is a (not necessarily strictly) decreasing function of the size of the symplectic balls used to cover continuously any given symplectic manifold. This function has other nice properties as well that do not prevent it from undergoing singularities similar to phase transitions.

This is a VERY preliminary version. A more definitive version will appear on ArXiv within one or two months that will contain other appropriate definitions and results.

Subject classification: 53D35, 57R17, 55R20, 57S05.

1. Introduction

In mathematics, the notion of partition of unity is fundamental since it is the concept that distinguishes C^∞ geometry from analytic geometry. In the first case, where partitions of unity apply, most objects can be decomposed in local parts, while in the second case where partitions of unity do not apply, most objects are intrinsically global and indecomposable.

It is therefore of great importance to push that notion as far as we can in order to make it more natural and applicable. Our first observation is that the right context in which one should consider partitions of unity is in the smooth category (or actually in the measurable category if one were able to make sense of that concept for families of open sets). So here *continuous* covers by open subsets of a given smooth manifold M will be parametrized by any *smooth* compact manifold endowed with a volume-form of total mass 1. We will first prove that any such continuous parametrised cover admits a continuous (and therefore measurable) partition of unity made of smooth functions. This applies to all smooth manifolds.

Concentrating on an arbitrary symplectic manifold (M, ω), the covers that we will consider will be made of families of symplectically embedded balls of a given capacity

The first author is supported by a Canada Research Chair, a NSERC grant OGP 0092913 (Canada) and a FQRNT grant ER-1199 (Québec); the second author is supported by a Graham Bell fellowship from NSERC (Canada) .

$c = \pi r^2$ indexed by a measure space $(T, d\mu = \mathrm{d}t)$. Here is our first theorem: the level of Poisson non-commutativity, as defined by Polterovich in the discrete case of partitions of unity [2], can be generalised to the case of our families of covers and associated partitions of unity; morever the number that we get in this general case, that depends *a priori* on the parameter space, actually depends only on real one-parameter families.

Our second theorem is that, given a c-parametrised family of one-parameter covers by symplectic balls of capacity c, if one considers the function $f : [0, c_{max}] \to [0, \infty]$ that assigns to c the Polterovich's level of non-commutativity of the corresponding cover, as generalised by us, then this function enjoys the following two properties:

1) f is non-increasing, and

2) f is upper semi-continuous.

We end this paper with two bold conjectures: the first one relates phase transitions in the topology of spaces of symplectic balls with the singularities of the Poisson non-commutativity. See Conjecture 30 at the end of this article. This conjecture also happens to be in conflict with another conjecture made by Polterovich. Finally we state a final conjecture 31 that states that at small scales, the uncertainty is purely topological (it does not depend on the symplectic structure).

Acknowlegment. Both authors are deeply grateful to Lev Buhovsky for suggesting and proving that the T-parameter spaces of continuous covers can be reduced to one-dimensional families. Although his original proof has been transformed in the course of the writing of this paper by using instead Hibert invariant measure curves, his idea has had a significant impact on the first version of this paper.

2. Continuous covers

Throughout this article, T is a compact connected smooth manifold of finite dimensional endowed with a measure μ of total volume 1 coming from a volume-form $\mathrm{d}t$. The following definition is far more restrictive than the one that we have in mind, but it will be enough for the purpose of this article.

Definition 1. Let M be a closed smooth manifold of dimension n Let U be a bounded open subset of Euclidean space R^n whose boundary is smooth and which can be extended smoothly to a collar neighbourhood of itself in such a way that the resulting open set be still embedded. A *continuous cover* of M (of *type (T,U)*) is a continuous map:

$$G : T \times U \to M$$

such that:
1) for each $t \in T$, the map G_t is a smooth embedding of U to M that can be extended to a smooth embedding of some (*a priori* t-dependent) collar neighbourhood of U (and therefore to the closed set $\bar{U}$);
2) the images of U as t runs over the parameter space T, cover M.

Note that, in general, the topology of U could change within the T-family. However, to simplify the analysis, we restrict ourselves to a fixed U – this is what we had in mind in the sentence preceding this definition. Note also that we could define a *smooth* cover in the same way by allowing G to be smooth in the T-variable too. Since $T \times U$ is a finite dimensional smooth compact manifold, any continuous cover is C^0-close to a smooth one. Smooth covers of symplectic manifolds will play some role later on.

Definition 2. A *partition of unity* F subordinated to a continuous cover G is a measurable function

$$\tilde{F} : T \times U \to \mathbb{R}$$

such that each $\tilde{F}_t : U \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function with compact support in U and such that, for every $x \in M$,

$$\int_T F_t(x)dt = 1.$$

Here the smooth function $F_t : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is the pushforward of $\tilde{F}_t$ to M using G_t, extended by zero outside the image of G_t.

Of course, this definition makes sense for measurable functions F_t, but the smoothness condition is required for the forthcoming definition of the Poisson bracket invariant.

Remark 3. Note that these definitions essentially mean that we work with $\bar{U}$ and that by a compact support inside $\bar{U}$, we mean that it lies in U.

Theorem 4. *Each continuous cover admits a partition of unity.*

Proof. Let G be a continuous cover of M by open sets diffeomorphic to U. Cover U by open balls such that their closure is always included inside U. Now, push forward this cover to M using each G_t; the collection of all of these images as t varies in T forms an open cover of M by sets diffeomorphic to the ball. Since M is compact, there exists a finite subcover. Each open set in this subcover comes from some G_t, where t is an element of a finite set $T' \subset T$.

For each $t \in T'$, consider the (finite) collection of open balls inside U whose image under G_t belongs to the subcover. Then consider the reunion of these collections to get another (finite) collection C of open balls inside U. Since the closure of each ball in C is contained in U, it is possible to contract U a little bit to another open set U' whose closure lies in U and which also contains the closure of each ball in C. It follows that the restriction G' of G to $T \times U'$ is also a continuous cover of M.

For each t, there exists a smooth function $g_t : U' \to [0, \infty)$ which vanishes only on the boundary of U' and which can be smoothly extended by zero on the complement of U' in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let

$$\tilde{g}_t : M \to [0, \infty) : x \mapsto \begin{cases} (g_t \circ G_t^{-1})(x) & \text{if } x \in G(t, U'), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This is a smooth function on M which depends continuously on $t \in T$. Define

$$F_t : M \to [0, \infty) : x \mapsto \frac{\tilde{g}_t(x)}{\int_T \tilde{g}_t(x)dt}.$$

Going through the argument, we see that

(1) each F_t is well-defined, since $\int_T \tilde{g}_t(x)dt > 0$ everywhere on M.
(2) the function $F(t, x) = F_t(x)$ is smooth in x and continuous in t;
(3) the supports of the F_t's cover M;
(4) $\int_T F_t(x)dt = 1$ for all $x \in M$.

□

Note that we recover the usual notion of partition of unity by taking T to be a finite set of points with the counting measure.

3. The *pb* invariant and Poisson non-commutativity

Leonid Polterovich [2] introduced recently the notion of the level of Poisson non-commutativity of a given classical (i.e finite) covering of a symplectic manifold. Here is the definition:

Definition 5. Let (M,ω) be a closed symplectic manifold and $\mathcal{U}$ a finite cover of M by open subsets $U_1,\dots,U_N$. For each partition of unity $F=(f_1,\dots,f_N)$ subordinated to $\mathcal{U}$, take the supremum of $\|\{\Sigma_i a_i f_i, \Sigma_j b_j f_j\}\|$ when the N-tuples of coefficients (a_i) and (b_i) run through the N-cube $[-1,1]^N$, where the bracket is the Poisson bracket and the norm is the C^0-supremum norm. Then take the infimum over all partitions of unity subordinated to $\mathcal{U}$. This is by definition the *pb invariant* of $\mathcal{U}$. To summarize:

$$\mathrm{pb}(\mathcal{U}) := \inf_{F<\mathcal{U}} \sup_{(a_i),(b_i)\in[-1,1]^N} \left\| \left\{ \sum_i a_i f_i \,,\, \sum_j b_j f_j \right\} \right\| .$$

Roughly speaking, this number is a measure of the least amount of 'symplectic interaction' that sets in a cover $\mathcal{U}$ can have. It is very plausible that such a number depends on the combinatorics of the cover, but also on the symplectic properties of the (intersections of the) open sets in the cover. To illustrate this point, observe that if $\mathcal{U}$ is an open cover made of only two open sets, then $\mathrm{pb}(\mathcal{U})=0$. A somewhat opposite result holds for covers constituted of displaceable open sets; let's recall that a subset U of M is *displaceable* if there is a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism ϕ such that $\phi(U)\cap U=\emptyset$. The main result of Polterovich in [2] is that for such a cover, the number $\mathrm{pb}(\mathcal{U})$ (multiplied by some finite number which measures the 'symplectic size' of the sets in the cover) is bounded from below by $\frac{1}{2N^2}$. In particular, $\mathrm{pb}(\mathcal{U})>0$ in such a case. Unfortunately, this lower bound, that relies heavily on techniques of Quantum homology, quasi-morphisms and quasi-states, depends on the cardinality of the open cover. As such, it does not show if one could use the pb-invariant in order to assign to a given symplectic manifold a (strictly positive) number that might be interpreted as its level of Poisson non-commutativity. Nevertheless, Polterovich conjectured in [2] that for covers made of displaceable open sets, there should be a strictly positive lower bound for *pb* independent of the cardinality of the cover, an extremely hard conjecture.

One way of solving this problem might come from the extension of the pb-invariant from finite covers to continuous covers. Indeed, continuous covers are morally limits of finite covers as the cardinality N goes to infinity, so we can expect some relation between the minimal value of pb on such covers and the level of Poisson non-commutativity of the symplectic manifold. This extension has the advantage that one may then compare the pb invariant for continuous covers to other quantities that also depend on continuous covers, such as the critical values at which families of symplectic balls undergo a phase transition. We first need the following definition:

Definition 6. Let (M,ω) be a closed symplectic manifold and G a continuous cover of M of type (T,U) by open subsets $G_t(U)$. For each partition of unity F subordinated to G, take the supremum of $\|\{\int_N a(t)F(t)dt, \int_N b(t)F(t)dt\}\|$ over all coefficients (or *weights*) a and b that are measurable functions defined on T with real values in $[-1,1]$. Then take the infimum over all partitions of unity subordinated to G. This is by definition the *pb*

invariant of G. To summarize:

$$\mathrm{pb}(G) := \inf_{F<G} \sup_{\alpha,\beta:\, T\to[-1,1] \text{ measurable}} \left\| \left\{ \int_T a(t)F_t dt\,,\ \int_T b(t)F_t dt \right\} \right\| .$$

Note that we recover Polterovich's definition by replacing T by a finite set of points.

For the sake of completeness, we recall a few facts taken from Polterovich's and Rosen's recent book [2] that will help the reader understand the proof. Further informations are available in this book and in the references therein. The setting is the following ([2], chapter 4):

- (M^{2n}, ω) a compact symplectic manifold;
- $U \subset M$ an open set;
- $H(U)$ the image of $\widetilde{Ham}(U)$ in $H := \widetilde{Ham}(M)$ under the map induced by the inclusion $U \subset M$;
- ϕ : an element of $H(U)$;
- c : a (subadditive) spectral invariant on $H(U)$ (see the definition below);
- $q(\phi) := c(\phi) + c(\phi^{-1})$, which is (almost) a norm on H;
- $w(U) := \sup_{\phi\in H(U)} q(\phi)$ the spectral width of U (which may be infinite).

Definition 7 ([2], 4.3.1). A function $c : H \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a *subadditive spectral invariant* if it satisfies the following axioms:

Conjugation invariance : $c(\phi\psi\phi^{-1}) = c(\psi)\ \forall \phi, \psi \in H$;
Subadditivity : $c(\phi\psi) \leq c(\phi) + c(\psi)$;
Stability :

$$\int_0^1 \min(f_t - g_t)dt \leq c(\phi) - c(\psi) \leq \int_0^1 \max(f_t - g_t)dt\,,$$

provided $\phi, \psi \in H$ are generated by normalized Hamiltonians f and g, respectively;
Spectrality : $c(\phi) \in \mathrm{spec}(\phi)$ for all nondegenerate elements $\phi \in H$.

Remark 8. The first three properties of a spectral invariant are in practice the most important ones. However, from the spectrality axiom, one can show for instance that $w(U) < \infty$ whenever U is displaceable; as such, the spectrality axiom is relevant in order to tie the spectral invariant with the symplectic topology of M. Let's mention that a spectral invariant exists on any closed symplectic manifold, as can be shown in the context of Hamiltonian Floer theory.

Given a Hamiltonian function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ generating the (autonomous) Hamiltonian diffeomorphism $\phi_f = \phi_f^1$ and a spectral invariant c, we can define the number

$$\zeta(f) := \sigma(\phi_f) + \langle f \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $\sigma(\phi_f) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} c(\phi_f^n)$ (with σ the *homogeneization* of c) and $\langle f \rangle := V^{-1} \int_M f\omega^n$ is the mean-value of f, where $V = \int_M \omega^n$ is the volume of the symplectic manifold M. The function $\zeta : C^\infty(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ is called the (*partial symplectic*) *quasi-state* associated to c. It has some very important properties, among which:

Normalization : $\zeta(a) = a$ for any constant a;
Stability : $\min_M(f - g) \leq \zeta(f) - \zeta(g) \leq \max_M(f - g)$;
Monotonicity : If $f \geq g$ on M, then $\zeta(f) \geq \zeta(g)$;

Homogeneity : If $s \in [0,\infty)$, then $\zeta(sf) = s\zeta(f)$;
Vanishing : If the support of f is displaceable, then $\zeta(f) = 0$ (this is a consequence of the spectrality axiom for c);
Quasi-subadditivity : If $\{f,g\} = 0$, then $\zeta(f+g) \leq \zeta(f) + \zeta(g)$.

For $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$, define $S(f,g) = \min\{w(\operatorname{supp} f)\ ,\ w(\operatorname{supp} g)\} \in [0,\infty]$. It follows from Remark 8 that this number is finite whenever either f or g has displaceable support.

Theorem 9 ([2], 4.6.1 ; the Poisson bracket inequality)**.** *For every pair of functions $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $S(f,g) < \infty$,*

$$\Pi(f,g) := |\zeta(f+g) - \zeta(f) - \zeta(g)| \leq \sqrt{2S(f,g)\,\|\{f,g\}\|}\,.$$

We see that $\Pi(f,g)$ measures the default of additivity of ζ. In fact, this theorem implies:

Partial quasi-linearity : If $S(f,g) < \infty$ and if $\{f,g\} = 0$, then

$$\zeta(f+g) = \zeta(f) + \zeta(g) \ \text{ and } \zeta(sf) = s\zeta(f)\ \forall s \in \mathbb{R}\,.$$

It is known that some symplectic manifolds admit a spectral invariant c for which S takes values in $[0,\infty)$, in which case ζ is a genuine symplectic quasi-state : it is a normalized, monotone and quasi-linear functional on the Poisson algebra $(C^\infty(M), \{-,-\})$.

Theorem 10 ([2], 9.2.2)**.** *Let (M,ω) be a symplectic manifold and consider a finite cover $U = \{U_1, \ldots, U_N\}$ of M by displaceable open sets. Write $w(U) := \max_i w(U_i) < \infty$. Then*

$$\operatorname{pb}(U)\, w(U) \ \geq\ \frac{1}{2N^2}\,.$$

Proof :

Let F be a partition of unity subordinated to U. Set

$$G_1 = F_1,\ G_2 = F_1 + F_2,\ \ldots,\ G_N = F_1 + \cdots + F_N\,.$$

Using Theorem 1 and the vanishing property of ζ, one obtains the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}|\zeta(G_{k+1}) - \zeta(G_k)| &= |\zeta(G_k + F_{k+1}) - \zeta(G_k) - \zeta(F_{k+1})| \\ &\leq \sqrt{2\min(w(\operatorname{supp} G_k)\,,\, w(\operatorname{supp} F_{k+1}))}\,\sqrt{\{G_k, F_{k+1}\}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Using the definitions of $\operatorname{pb}(F)$ and of $w(U)$, one gets:

$$|\zeta(G_{k+1}) - \zeta(G_k)| \leq \sqrt{2\,w(U)}\,\sqrt{\operatorname{pb}(F)}\,.$$

This inequality holds for all k. Using the normalization and vanishing properties of ζ and applying the triangle inequality to a telescopic sum, one gets:

$$\begin{aligned}1 = |\zeta(1) - 0| = |\zeta(G_N) - \zeta(G_1)| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} |\zeta(G_{k+1}) - \zeta(G_k)| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \sqrt{2\,w(U)\operatorname{pb}(F)} \leq N\sqrt{2\,w(U)\operatorname{pb}(F)}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for any $F < U$, the result easily follows.

□

A very similar results holds in the context of continuous covers. We say that a continuous cover $G : T \times U \to (M, \omega)$ is made of displaceable sets if each set $G_t(\bar{U}) = \overline{G_t(U)} \subset (M, \omega)$ is displaceable. In other words, not only is each $G_t(U)$ displaceable, but so is a small neighbordhood of it too. For such a cover G, we define:

$$w(G) := \sup \{ w(G(A)) \mid A \subset T, \, G(A) \text{ is displaceable} \} .$$

Theorem 11. *For any continuous cover G made of displaceable sets, there exists a constant $c = c(G) > 0$ such that*

$$\mathrm{pb}(G)\, w(G) \;\geq\; c(G) .$$

Proof :

The proof morally consists in a coarse-graining of the continuous cover to a finite cover. Let $W_1, \ldots, W_N$ be any exhaustion of the compact manifold T by nested open sets with the following property: the sets $V_1 = W_1$, $V_2 = W_2 - W_1$, ..., $V_N = W_N - W_{N-1}$ are such that $\cup_{t \in V_j} \mathrm{Im}(G_t)$ is displaceable for all j. Assume for the moment being that such sets exist. Now let F be a partition of unity subordiated to G and consider the sequence $\int_{V_1} F_t dt$, ... , $\int_{V_N} F_t dt$. As in Theorem 2, one estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = |\zeta(1) - 0| &= \left| \zeta\left(\int_{W_N} F_t dt \right) - \zeta\left(\int_{W_1} F_t dt \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left| \zeta\left(\int_{W_{k+1}} F_t dt \right) - \zeta\left(\int_{W_k} F_t dt \right) - \underbrace{\zeta\left(\int_{V_{k+1}} F_t dt \right)}_{0} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \sqrt{2\, w(G)\, \mathrm{pb}(F)} \leq N \sqrt{2\, w(G)\, \mathrm{pb}(F)} . \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for all $F < G$, and since $2N^2$ depends only on G (through the choice of the W_j's), the result follows.

The sets W_j's exist for the following reason. The closure of each $G_t(U)$ is a compact displaceable set, so that some open neighborhood O_t of this set is displaceable. By the continuity of the cover G, for any t there exists an open set $\{t\} \in Y_t \subset T$ such that $G(Y_t \times U) \subset O_t$. Since T is compact, only a finite number of these Y_t suffices to cover T, say $Y_1, \ldots, Y_N$. Set $W_j = \cup_{k=1}^{j} Y_j$. Since $V_j \subset Y_j$, the sets $G(V_j \times U)$ are indeed displaceable. This concludes the proof.

□

It is natural to compare the pb invariant of different continuous covers of type (T, U), especially if there are related to each other by a continuous familly of continuous covers of the same type. This might help in understanding what is the 'optimal' way to cover a symplectic manifold (M, ω) by copies of a set U. We are led to the following definition which lies at the heart of this article:

Definition 12. We define the pb invariant of the homotopy class $A \in [T \times U, M]$ as the infimum of $\mathrm{pb}(G)$ when G runs over all continuous covers in A. In short:

$$\mathrm{pb}(A) := \inf_{[G]=A} \mathrm{pb}(G) \ .$$

The obvious difficulty of this definition is that it intertwines four extrema: the supremum in the definition of the C^0-norm, the supremum over coefficients, the infimum over partitions of unity and the infimum over the continuous cover in the homotopy class! As a consequence of this difficulty, it is not clear for instance if this number is strictly positive, a problem which is related to Polterovich's conjecture.

4. Reducing the dimension of the T-parameter space to 1

In this section, we prove the following fundamental fact: the pb invariant associated to any class of T-families can be reduced to the pb invariant of some class of one-parameter families.

Theorem 13. *Let M be a symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$, U an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ as defined above, and T a compact manifold of strictly positive dimension endowed with a measure μ of total mass 1. Then the pb invariant of the homotopy class of maps $G : T \times U \to M$ is equal to the pb invariant of homotopy classes of maps $G' : [0,1] \times U \to M$ where we mean here that the only constraints on G' are that its full image cover M and that each $G_t(U)$ be displaceable.*

Proof. Let (M^{2n}, ω) be a compact symplectic manifold. Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be a (nonempty open) bounded domain which is starshaped with respect to the origin, i.e. $0 \in B$ and $tx \in B$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ if $x \in B$, and which lies in the closure of its interior. For $r \in (0, \infty)$, denote $rB = \{rx \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : x \in B\}$. Let T be a compact connected manifold endowed with a mass 1 volume form dt.

Definition 14. A **T-continuous cover of M by rB** is a surjective smooth map $G : T \times rB \to M$ such that each map $G_t : rB \subset \{t\} \times rB \xrightarrow{G} M$ admits an extension to $\overline{rB}$ which is a smooth embedding.

We may restrict our attention to covers made of embeddings satisfying some constraints. For instance, if we endow rB with the symplectic form induced by the ambient standard symplectic structure on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, we may impose that the embeddings G_t be symplectic. However, we shall assume that our covers satisfy the following two conditions:

Expansion condition: If G is a T-continuous cover (with constraints) of M by rB, then there exists $r' > r$ such that G extends to a T-continuous cover (with constraints) of M by $r'B$.

Contraction condition: If G is a T-continuous cover (with constraints) of M by rB, then there exists $r' < r$ such that the restriction of G to $T \times r'B$ is a T-continuous cover (with constraints) of M by $r'B$.

For instance, if B is a symplectic closed ball and if we impose our embeddings to be symplectic, then by compactness of T and M and by the local convexity of the space of symplectic forms, any symplectic T-continuous cover of (M, ω) by (rB, ω_0) extends to a symplectic T-continuous cover of (M, ω) by $(r'B, \omega_0)$ with $r' > r$.

Definition 15. Let G be a T-continuous cover of M by rB. A **smooth partition of unity subordinated to** G is a map $\bar{F} : T \times rB \to [0, \infty)$ which satisfies the following conditions:

(1) It is measurable in the T-variable;
(2) It's extension by zero on $T \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to [0, \infty)$ is smooth in the $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ variable;
(3) It is such that $\int_T F(t, x)dt = 1$ for all $x \in M$, where

$$F(t, -) : M \to [0, \infty) : x \mapsto \begin{cases} \bar{F}(t, G_t^{-1}(x)) & \text{if } x \in G(t, rB)\,, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise }. \end{cases}$$

Let $P(G)$ be the **set of partition of unity subordinated to** G.

Remark: The function $F_t : M \to [0, \infty)$ is smooth with support in $G(t, rB)$.

Definition 16. A T**-weight** is a measurable map $\alpha : T \to [-1, 1]$. More generally, it could be defined as a linear map $\alpha : C^0(T, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $\alpha(f) \in [-1, 1]$ for all $f \in C^0(T, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\|f\|_{L^1} \leq 1$. Let $W(T)$ denote the **set of** T**-weights**.

Remark: Given a bounded function $\bar{F} : T \times rB \to \mathbb{R}$ which is measurable in the first variable and smooth in the second, and given a T-weight α, the map $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as $f(x) = \int_T \alpha(t)\bar{F}(t, G_t^{-1}(x))\, dt$ is smooth.

Definition 17. Let $\bar{F}$ be a partition of unity subordinated to a T-continuous cover (with constraints) G of M by rB. We define the **Poisson bracket invariant of** $\bar{F}$, or the pb-invariant of $\bar{F}$ in short, as the number

$$\mathrm{pb}(\bar{F}) := \sup_{\alpha,\beta \in W(T)} \left\| \left\{ \int_T \alpha(t) F_t\, dt\ ,\ \int_T \beta(t) F_t\, dt \right\} \right\|_\infty$$

We define the pb**-invariant of the cover** G as the number

$$\mathrm{pb}(G) := \inf_{\bar{F} \in P(G)} \mathrm{pb}(\bar{F})\ .$$

Following Lalonde, if A denotes the connected component of G in the set of T-continuous cover (with constraints) of M by rB, we define the pb**-invariant of** A as the number

$$\mathrm{pb}(A) := \inf_{G \in A} \mathrm{pb}(G)\ .$$

Given a T-continuous cover (with constraints) G of M by rB, the evaluation map at any $t \in T$ associates to G a well-defined class γ in $\pi_0(Emb(B, M))$. In fact, this class only depends on A.

4.1. **Bipartite covers and their associated partitions of unity.** We introduce the following gadget inspired by an idea of Buhovski.

Definition 18. Let G_T and G_I be continuous covers of M by rB parametrised by T and $I = [0, 1]$ respectively. Assume that $[G_T] = [G_I] \in \pi_0(Emb(B, M))$. A **bipartite cover associated to** (G_T, G_I), or a **bicover** in short, is a T-continuous cover $\tilde{G}$ of M by rB satisfying the following conditions:

(1) there is a bipartition $T = (T \setminus \operatorname{int} D) \cup D$ where $D \subset T$ is a closed embedded $\dim(T)$-ball;
(2) on $T \setminus \operatorname{int} D$, $\tilde{G}$ is given by the pullback of G_T under a "quotient map"
$$q : T \setminus \operatorname{int} D \to (T \setminus \operatorname{int} D)/\partial(T \setminus \operatorname{int} D) \xrightarrow{\simeq} T ;$$
(3) there is an embedding $\iota : C \hookrightarrow \operatorname{int} D$ where $C := I \times \mathbb{D}^{\dim T - 1}$, and $\tilde{G}(\iota(u,x)) := G_I(u)$ for $(u,x) \in C$.

Given G_T and G_I as in this definition, it is clear that there exists a bicover associated to (G_T, G_I). It is also clear that such a bicover belongs to the connected component A of G_T. We set $V_T = \operatorname{vol}(T \setminus D)$ and $V_I = \operatorname{vol}(\operatorname{im} \iota)$.

Given a partition of unity F_T subordinated to G_T, we can pullback the function $V_T^{-1} F_T$ to the bicover $\tilde{G}$ using the "quotient map" q. The result is a partition of unity $\tilde{F}_T$ subordinated to $\tilde{G}$. (Note that $\tilde{F}_T$ is discontinuous in the T-variable, but it is still measurable.)

Given a partition of unity F_I subordinated to G_I, we can "extend" it to a partition of unity $\tilde{F}_I$ subordinated to $\tilde{G}$. More explicitly, let $\rho : \mathbb{D}^{\dim T - 1} \to [0,1]$ be a compactly supported function such that the top-form $\rho V_I^{-1} \iota^* dt$ on C has mass 1. For $(u,x,y) \in C \times rB$, we set $\tilde{F}_I(\iota(u,x),y) = \rho(x) V_I^{-1} F_I(u,y)$ and we extend by 0 outside $\operatorname{im} \iota$.

Of course, given any $s \in [0,1]$, the function $\tilde{F}_s := s\tilde{F}_T + (1-s)\tilde{F}_I$ is a partition of unity subordinated to $\tilde{G}$.

Proposition 19. Let G_T and G_I be continuous covers of (M,ω) by rB parametrised respectively by T and I. Given any bicover $\tilde{G}$ associated to (G_T, G_I), we have $\operatorname{pb} G_T \geq \operatorname{pb} \tilde{G}$.

Proof. Let F_T be a partition of unity subordinated to G_T. Then $\tilde{F}_T$ is a partition of unity subordinated to $\tilde{G}$. Given any T-weight α, define $q_*\alpha$ as the function which equals $\alpha \circ q^{-1}$ on $T \setminus D$ and 0 elsewhere. It is clearly a T-weight. The functions $\tilde{f}(y) = \int_T \alpha(t)\tilde{F}_T(t,y)dt$ and $f(y) = \int_T (q_*\alpha)(t) F_T(t,y) dt$ on M are the same. Hence $\operatorname{pb} F_T \geq \operatorname{pb} \tilde{F}_T$. Since this is true for any F_T, we get the result.

□

This proposition shows that in order to compute $\operatorname{pb} A$, we can take the infimum of the pb-invariants of the bicovers in A.

Proposition 20 (Buhovski). Let G_T and G_I be continuous covers of (M,ω) by rB parametrised respectively by T and I. Given any bicover $\tilde{G}$ associated to (G_T, G_I), we have $\operatorname{pb} G_I \geq \operatorname{pb} \tilde{G}$.

Proof. Let F_I be a partition of unity subordinated to G_I. Then $\tilde{F}_I$ is a partition of unity subordinated to $\tilde{G}$. Given any T-weight α, define the I-weight α_I as the fiber integral $\alpha_I(u) = \int_{\mathbb{D}^{\dim T - 1}} \alpha(\iota(u,x))\rho(x) V_I^{-1} dx$. The functions $\tilde{f}(y) = \int_T \alpha(t)\tilde{F}_I(t,y)dt$ and $f(y) = \int_I \alpha_I(u) F_I(u,y) du$ on M are the same. Hence $\operatorname{pb} F_I \geq \operatorname{pb} \tilde{F}_I$. Since this is true for any F_I, we get the result.

□

4.2. **Space-filling curves.**

Definition 21. A **space-filling curve of a manifold** T is a surjective continuous map

$$c : [0,1] \to T\,.$$

An important example of a space-filling curve is given by the Hilbert curve $c_H : [0,1] \to [0,1]^2$, which is obtained as the uniform limit of a sequence of continuous maps $c_n : [0,1] \to [0,1]^2$. A special property of c_H is that it sends any dyadic interval $[m/2^{2n}, (m+1)/2^{2n}]$ (which has measure $1/2^{2n}$) onto a dyadic square of measure $1/2^{2n}$ (the presence of the number 2 in the exponents is related to the fact that $[0,1]^2$ is two-dimensional). By the density of the dyadic numbers, it follows that c_H is measure-preserving, i.e. if μ_1 and μ_2 denote the Lebesgue measure of mass 1 on $[0,1]$ and $[0,1]^2$ respectively, then $\mu_1(c_H^{-1}(E)) = \mu_2(E)$ for any measurable set $E \subset [0,1]^2$. This construction generalizes in order to construct a Hilbert space-filling curve $c_{H,d} : [0,1] \to [0,1]^d$ for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$ which is measure-preserving. The usual construction of the Hilbert curve is such that $c_{H,d}$ maps $\partial[0,1]$ to $\partial([0,1]^d)$.

Given a d-dimensional compact manifold T endowed with a volume form dt of mass 1, it is possible to triangularize T by a finite number of closed embedded d-simplices $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$. Denote by V_k the volume of Δ_k with respect to dt. Let $\phi_k : [0, V_k]^d \to \Delta_k$ be a homeomorphism. Hence the map $c_k : [0, V_k] \to \Delta_k : t \mapsto \phi_k(V_k\, c_{H,d}(t/V_K))$ is a measure-preserving space-filling curve of Δ_k. We can choose the homeomorphisms ϕ_k so that $c_k(V_k) = c_{k+1}(0)$, allowing us to concatenate these curves to obtain a measure-preserving space-filling curve $c : [0,1] \to T$.

Our interest in measure-preserving space-filling curves stems from the fact that they allows a "change of integration variable", going from d integration variables to solely 1 variable. Indeed, given a measurable function $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$ and a continuous map $c : [0,1] \to T$, the map $f \circ c : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is also measurable. Therefore, if f is integrable and if c is a measure-preserving space-filling curve of T, we have

$$\int_T f(t)\, dt = \int_{[0,1]} (f \circ c)(u)\, du\,.$$

Let G_T be a T-continuous cover of M by rB and $c : [0,1] \to T$ be a measure-preserving space-filling curve. The map $G_I := G_T \circ (c \times id) : [0,1] \times rB \to M$ is thus a $[0,1]$-continuous cover of M by rB. Given a partition of unity F_T subordinated to G_T, the map $F_I := F_T \circ (c \times id) : [0,1] \times rB \to [0,\infty)$ is a partition of unity subordinated to G_I.

Proposition 22. Let G_T be a T-continuous covers of (M,ω) by rB. Let $c : I \to T$ be a measure-preserving space-filling curve. Let $G_I = G_T \circ (c \times id)$. We have $\operatorname{pb} G_T \geq \operatorname{pb} G_I$.

Proof. Let F_T be a partition of unity subordinated to G_T. Then, as above, we define $F_I := F_T \circ (c \times id)$, which is a partition of unity subordinated to F_I. Given any I-weight

α, we would like to pushforward it with the help of c to get a T-weight α_T such that the functions $f_I(y) = \int_I \alpha(u)F_I(u,y)du$ and $f_T(y) = \int_T \alpha_T(t)F_T(t,y)dt$ on M are the same. However, a space-filling curve is not injective in general, and there is no reason for the weight α to be constant on preimages by c of singletons; Some care is required in the definition of α_T.

Consider the measure $d\mu = \alpha(u)du$. There is a well-defined notion of pushforward for measures, a notion we implicitly encountered above: the pushforward measure $c_*\mu$ is defined by the equation $(c_*\mu)(E) = \mu(c^{-1}(E))$ for any measurable set $E \subset T$. Since c is measure-preserving, it is easy to see that $c_*\mu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to dt, that is, for any E such that $dt(E) = 0$, we have $(c_*\mu)(E) = 0$. Indeed,

$$|(c_*\mu)(E)| = |\mu(c^{-1}(E))| = \left|\int_{c^{-1}(E)} \alpha(u)du\right| \leq \int_{c^{-1}(E)} |\alpha(u)|du \leq (c_*du)(E) = dt(E) = 0\,.$$

By the Radon-Nikodym theorem, there exists a measurable function α_T such that $d(c_*\mu) = \alpha_T(t)dt$. Therefore, we have $\int_I \alpha(u)(c^*g)(u)du = \int_T \alpha_T(t)g(t)dt$ for any integrable function on T. This relation implies that α_T is a T-weight with the properties we were seeking for.

It follows that $\operatorname{pb} F_T \geq \operatorname{pb} F_I$. Since this is true for all F_T, taking the infimum on both covers over the partitions of unity subordinated to them yields the result.

□

Theorem 23 (Buhovski, new proof by L–P)**.** *Let A_T and A_I be connected components of continuous covers of (M,ω) by rB parametrised respectively by T and I. Suppose that $[A_T] = [A_I] \in \pi_0(Emb(B,M))$. Then $\operatorname{pb} A_T = \operatorname{pb} A_I$.*

Proof. Let $G_T \in A_T$ be a T-cover. Given a measure-preserving space-filling curve $c : I \to T$, let G_I be the corresponding I-cover. According to Propositions 3, we have $\operatorname{pb} G_T \geq \operatorname{pb} G_I$. Hence $\operatorname{pb} A_T \geq \operatorname{pb} A_I$. Conversely, let $G_I \in A_I$ be an I-cover and select any T-cover $G_T \in A_T$. Let $\tilde{G}$ be a bicover associated (G_T, G_I). According to Proposition 2, we have $\operatorname{pb} G_I \geq \operatorname{pb} \tilde{G}$. Hence $\operatorname{pb} A_I \geq \operatorname{pb} A_T$.

□

4.3. **Bicovers associated to space-filled covers.** Let G_T be a T-continuous cover of M by rB and $c : [0,1] \to T$ be a measure-preserving space-filling curve. The map $G_I := G_T \circ (c \times id) : [0,1] \times rB \to M$ is thus a $[0,1]$-continuous cover of M by rB. We shall say that a bicover $\tilde{G}$ associated to (G_T, G_I) is a **bicover associated to** (G_T, c).

Given a partition of unity F_T subordinated to G_T, the map $F_I := F_T \circ (c \times id) : [0,1] \times rB \to [0,\infty)$ is a partition of unity subordinated to G_I. Any convex combination of $\tilde{F}_T$ and of $\tilde{F}_I$ determines a partition of unity subordinated to $\tilde{G}$ which possesses the same information as F_T.

We can somewhat generalize this construction. Let G_T (respectively, G_U) be a T-continuous cover (respectively, an U-cover) of M by rB such that $[G_T] = [G_U] \in \pi_0(Emb(B,M))$. Given a measure-preserving space-filling curve c of U, we can use it to get a $[0,1]$-continuous

cover G_I of M by rB from G_U. Any bicover associated to such a pair (G_T, G_I) is said to be **associated to** (G_T, G_U, c). Of course, we can also construct partitions of unity on such a bicover from partitions of unity F_T and F_U subordinated respectively to G_T and G_U.

Corollary 24. Let G_T and G_U be continuous covers of M by rB parametrised respectively by T and U. Assume $[G_T] = [G_U] \in \pi_0(Emb(B, M))$. Let $c : [0, 1] \to U$ be a measure-preserving space-filling curve. Let $\tilde{G}$ be a bicover associated to (G_T, G_U, c). Then both $\mathrm{pb}\, G_T$ and $\mathrm{pb}\, G_U$ are superior or equal to $\mathrm{pb}\, \tilde{G}$.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the definition of $\tilde{G}$ and of Propositions 1, 2 and 3. □

This result has the following consequence. Let A_T be a connected component of T-continuous covers of (M, ω) by rB. Let $(G_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \subset A_T$ be a sequence of T-covers such that $\lim_{i\to\infty} \mathrm{pb}\, G_i = \mathrm{pb}\, A_T$. Consider any fixed U-cover G_U such that $[G_U] = [A_T] \in \pi_0(Emb(B, M))$ and a measure-preserving space-filling curve $c : I \to U$. For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\tilde{G}_i$ be a bicover associated to (G_i, G_U, c). Then $\lim_{i\to\infty} \mathrm{pb}\, \tilde{G}_i = \mathrm{pb}\, A_T$. Consequently, when we consider a sequence of T-covers approximating $\mathrm{pb}\, A_T$, we can assume that each cover of this sequence is refined by our favorite U-cover.

Another consequence of this result, which is in the same spirit as Theorem 1, is:

Theorem 25. *Fix a given domain B. Let A_T be a connected component of T-continuous covers of (M, ω) by rB. Let $\gamma = [A_T] \in \pi_0(Emb(B, M))$. Then $\mathrm{pb}\, A_T$ depends solely on γ and rB; In particular, it is independent of the specific connected component A_T and even of the specific T.*

Proof. Let A_U be a connected component of U-continuous covers of (M, ω) by rB such that $[A_U] = \gamma$. Let $(G_{T,i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \subset A_T$ and $(G_{U,i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \subset A_U$ be sequences such that $\lim_{i\to\infty} \mathrm{pb}\, G_{T,i} = \mathrm{pb}\, A_T$ and $\lim_{i\to\infty} \mathrm{pb}\, G_{T,i} = \mathrm{pb}\, A_T$ respectively. Consider a measure-preserving space-filling curve $c : I \to U$. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\tilde{G}_i$ be a bicover associated to $(G_{T,i}, G_{U,i}, c)$. Then

$$\mathrm{pb}\, A_U = \lim_{i\to\infty} \mathrm{pb}\, G_{U,i} \geq \lim_{i\to\infty} \mathrm{pb}\, \tilde{G}_i = \mathrm{pb}\, A_T\,.$$

By exchanging the roles of T and U in this argument, we also get $\mathrm{pb}\, A_T \geq \mathrm{pb}\, A_U$. □

Therefore, for fixed B, (M, ω) and $\gamma \in \pi_0(Emb(B, M))$, we can consider the function $r \mapsto \mathrm{pb}(r)$ where $\mathrm{pb}(r) := \mathrm{pb}\, A_T$ for any connected component of T-continuous covers of (M, ω) by rB.

5. The behaviour of $pb(c)$ on symplectic balls

In the rest of this article, we will now restrict ourselves to the case where U is the standard open ball of radius r (or capacity $c = \pi r^2$) $B^{2n}(c)$ and where G_t is a symplectic

embedding for all t. Let us remind the reader that the map G_t in the definition of cover means that we will deal with symplectic embeddings of the open ball $B^{2n}(c)$ that can be extended to smooth symplectic embeddings of a collar neighbourhood of $B^{2n}(c)$. As we noted above, this is the same as working with symplectic embeddings of the closed ball $\bar{B}^{2n}(c)$.

We will now put all results of the preceeding sections together. Let T be any compact manifold endowed with a measure of total volume equal to 1. Fix a homotopy class $\alpha = [G]$ of maps $G : T \times B^{2n}(c) \to M$ where each G_t extends to a symplectic embedding, and where the image of G covers M. Denote by $pb(T, B^{2n}(c), \alpha)$ the Poisson bracket invariant. As we showed earlier in Theorem **??**, this is equal to $pb([0,1], B2n(c), \beta)$ where β is equal to the connected component of symplectic balls defined by α. Note that the fact that here the open subsets under consideration in M be symplectic images of $U = B^{2n}(c)$ by G_t does not change at all the proof of Theorem **??**.

This enables us to make the following definition:

Definition 26. We define by $pb(c, \beta)$ the number $pb([0,1], B^{2n}(c), \beta)$, which depends only on the connected component of the symplectic balls considered. In cases when there is only one connected component, or if we do need to make precise the class β, we will simply write $pb(c)$.

Denoting by c_{max} the largest capacity of a symplectic ball embedded in M (that can be much small than the volume constraint by the Non-Squeezing Theorem), our main theorem is:

Theorem 27. *As a function from $[0, c_{max}] \to [0, \infty)$, pb is non-increasing and upper semi-continous. Here c_{max} is the maximal capacity of a ball symplectically embeddable in M in the given connected component.*

Proof. (a) Let us first show that the function is non-increasing. Indeed, because we work in a single connected component of symplectic balls, and by applying the Hamiltonian extension of any symplectic isotopy between two balls, any symplectic embedding $G_t : B^{2n}(c) \to M$ can be extended to $B^{2n}(c_{max})$ and therefore to $B^{2n}(c')$ for all $c \leq c'$.

Consider then $0 \leq clec' \leq c_{max}$. Given any epsilon, there exists a pair (G, F) defined on $B^{2n}(c)$ that realises the infimum of $pb(c)$ up to epsilon. By Hamiltonian extension as above, all data that define $pb(c)$ (i.e the covering, the partition of unity, ...) apply as well to $pb(c')$ by trivial inclusion. This shows that any element in the infimum that defines $pb(c)$ is also an element in the infimum that defines $pb(c')$. Therefore $pb(c) \geq pb(c')$.

(b) Now let us show that, for every $c \in [0, c_{max}]$, $f(c)$ is greater or equal to all limits of f from the right or from the left of c, which we will refer as *supra upper semi-continuous*. By the last argument, $f(c)$ is greater or equal to all limits of f from the right.

Now, for any ϵ, there is a pair (G, F) defined on $(T = [0,1]) \times B^{2n}(c)$ that achieves the infimum up to epsilon. We may now argue by restriction: that pair can be restricted to a ball of smaller capacity c'' since the support of F is compact inside the open ball of capacity c. Transporting everything to that restriction, one gets

$$f(c'') \leq f(c) + \epsilon.$$

Since the choice of c'' obviously depends on ϵ, and might get as close to c when ϵ goes to zero, we do not get $f(c'') \leq f(c)$ but only that $f(c)$ is supra-upper semicontinuous.

(c) Putting together (a) and (b), we easily get the desired result. We wish to prove that f is upper semicontinous, that is to say that $f(c)$ is *equal* to the supremum of limits of

f as c' tends to c from the left or the right. Consider a sequence c_i converging to c with highest value $limf(c_i)$ (the value ∞ is not excluded). It is clear that such a sequence must be acheived on the left. Indeed, if the maximal value of the adherence from the right were larger than from the left, this would contradict the non-increasing property of f.

If the maximal sequence c_i of is from the left, its limit cannot be smaller than $f(c)$ because otherwise it would contradict the non-increasing property. However, by supra upper semicontinuity, it cannot be greater than $f(c)$. Therefore, it has to be equal to $f(c)$. □

6. Phase transitions and the *pb* invariant

The first phase transition discovered in Symplectic Topology, and that physicists could not have discovered since it appears only starting at the third homotopy group for ruled symplectic 4-manifolds, is the following one (see [1]):

Theorem 28. *(Anjos-Lalonde-Pinsonnault) In any ruled symplectic 4-manifold (M, ω), there is a unique value c_{crit} such that the infinite dimensional space $Emb(c, \omega)$ of all symplectic embeddings of the standard closed ball of capacity c in M undergoes the following striking property: below c_{crit}, the space $Emb(c, \omega)$ is homotopy equivalent to a finite dimensional manifold, while above that value, $Emb(c, \omega)$ does not retract onto any finite dimensional manifold (or CW-complex) since it posesses non-trivial homology groups in dimension as high as one wishes. Below or above that value, the homotopy type stays the same.*

Definition 29. In symplectic topology, let us call an *uncertainty phase transition* any critical value c at which the space of symplectic embeddings of balls of capacity c in some symplectic manifold undegoes a change of its homotopy type.

The reason for the term *phase transition* is still debattable, but there are several physical reasons to adopt that terminology.

The main driving conjecture of this paper is the following:

Conjecture 30. (The Poisson–Uncertainty conjecture) The function $pb(c)$ is a locally constant, upper semi-continuous function of c, with eventually jumps downwards at the uncertainty phase transitions and only at these values.

A consequence of this conjecture is that the limit as c goes to zero is a finite number as soon as there are just a finite number of uncertainty phase transitions. This leads us to the following conjecture inspired by the fact that small displaceable balls should not see the symplectic form as well as by the fact that the space of symplectic balls below the critical values retracts to the topology of the manifold itself for ruled symplectic 4-manifolds.

Conjecture 31. (The Topology conjecture). The limit of the function $pb(c)$, as c tends to zero, depends only on the topology of the symplectic manifold.

References

[1] S. Anjos, F. Lalonde and M. Pinsonnault, The homotopy type of the space of symplectic balls in rational ruled 4-manifolds, *Geometry and Topology* **13** (2009), 1177–1227.

[2] L. Polterovich and D. Rosen, *Function Theory on Symplectic Manifolds*, CRM Monograph Series, American Mathematical Society, vol. 34, 2014.

Département de mathématiques et de statistique, Université de Montréal; Département de mathématiques et de statistique, Université de Montréal.

E-mail address: lalonde@dms.umontreal.ca; payettej@dms.umontreal.ca